

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viggina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/224,376	12/31/1998	JOSEPH C. HARVELL	709000 3762	
21909 7	1590 05/22/2003			
CARR LAW FIRM, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
670 FOUNDE 900 JACKSON	STREET		CHANG, JUNGWON	
DALLAS, TX	75202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	26
			DATE MAILED: 05/22/2003	$\nu \gamma$

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

	Application No. Applicant(s)					
	09/224,376	HARVELL, JOSEPH C.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jungwon Chang	2154				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of the period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	<u> March 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	o application					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) 32-34 and 36-44 is/are pending in the application.					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) 32-34 and 36-44 is/are rejected.					
,— · · · · — · · ·	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	- ciocacii requii ciiiciia					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 2154

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. Claims 1-31 and 35 have been cancelled, and claims 43 and 44 are newly added. Claims 32-34 and 36-44 are presented for examination.
- 2. Claim 36 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 3. Claims 32-34 and 36-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
  - 1. The claim language in the following claims is not clearly understood:
    - i. as to claim 32, lines 2-3, it not clearly indicated the relationship between a single selected computer and one or more computers (i.e., is the single selected computer one of the one or more computers? and Is the single computer selected from one or more computers?)
    - ii. as to claims 37, 43, 44, they have the same deficiency as claim 32 as set forth in the paragraph above.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/224,376

Art Unit: 2154

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 32, and 37-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lim et al. (US 5,938,732), hereinafter referred to as Lim, further in view of Arendt et al. (US 6,427,163 B1), hereinafter referred to as Arendt.
- 6. As to claim 32, Lim discloses the invention substantially as claimed, including the method for implementing a heartbeat protocol (col. 3, lines 30-37), comprising: sending, to one or more selected server, heartbeat messages from a single selected computer (i.e., group leader; col. 3, lines 38-47), indicating the availability of computer resources on one or more computers (col. 3, lines 30-37; col. 8, lines 23-38; col. 9, lines 34-44), such that the loss of a heartbeat from the single selected machine is indicative that all computer resources are unavailable (i.e., failure detection; col. 4, lines 8-9; col. 6, lines 54-62; col. 8, lines 23-35; col. 12, lines 39-50), and the presence of a heartbeat from the selected machine is indicative that all computer resources are available (col. 5, lines 21-35 and 66-67; col. 6, lines 1-6); generating a message by the single selected computer in accordance with the heartbeat protocol to indicate

Art Unit: 2154

availability status of the one or more computer resources (col. 3, lines 34-37; col. 5, line 66 – col. 6, line 6 and 15-26; col. 8, lines 23-38; col. 9, lines 34-44).

- 7. Lim does not specifically disclose sending heartbeat messages directly to a server. However, Arendt discloses point-to-point communication used for sending heartbeat messages between nodes (col. 4, lines 38-41). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Lim and Arendt because Arendt's point-to-point communication (i.e., unicast) would allow the computer to transmit the heartbeat messages directly to other computer(s), thereby providing private communication.
- 8. As to claim 37, Lim further discloses determining from the presence or absence of the heartbeat messages that all computer resources are available or unavailable (col. 3, lines 34-37; col. 5, line 66 col. 6, line 6 and 15-26; col. 8, lines 23-38; col. 9, lines 34-44); and providing a response to a message query for the computer resources for which the heartbeat is absent that the computer resources are unavailable (i.e., failure detection; col. 4, lines 8-9; col. 6, lines 54-62; col. 8, lines 23-35; col. 12, lines 39-50).
- 9. As to claims 38-40, Lim discloses providing additional information associated with the response to the message query concerning when the computer resources is expected to become available (col. 5, lines 61-65; col. 7, lines 55-58; col. 3, lines 44-

Art Unit: 2154

47).

- 10. As to claim 41, Lim discloses the heartbeat is monitored by a primary master name server for a zone of a communications network comprising the computer resources (col. 3, lines 48-52 and 66-67 col. 4, line 4; col. 6, lines 15-36).
- 11. As to claim 42, Lim discloses the heartbeat is generated by at least one computer within a domain name zone (col. 7, lines 24-37; col. 6, lines 32-45).
- 12. As to claim 43, Lim discloses the invention substantially as claimed in claim 32. In addition, Lim discloses transmitting the message to the one or more selected servers (col. 5, lines 25-35 and 66-67 col. 6, line 6).
- 13. As to claim 44, Lim discloses the invention substantially as claimed in claim 32. In addition, Lim discloses providing a response to a message query for the computer resources for which the heartbeat is absent that the computer resources are unavailable (col. 6, lines 54-58; col. 12, line 61 col. 13, line 8).
- 14. Claims 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lim et al. (US 5,938,732) and Arendt et al. (US 6,427,163 B1), as applied to claims 32, and 37-42 above, further in view of Olarig et al. (US 6,370,656 B1), hereinafter referre d to as Olarig.

Art Unit: 2154

15. As to claims 33 and 34, Lim and Arendt do not specifically disclose requesting a new heartbeat rate. However, Olarig discloses dynamically changing heartbeat rates according to system demand (col. 3, lines 20 and 34-36; col. 4, lines 46-48; col. 6, line 59 – col. 7, line 5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Lim, Arendt, and Olarig because Olarig's dynamically changing heartbeat rates would improve the integrity of the system by allowing the system to monitor more frequently for detection of failure by increasing heartbeat rate (i.e., decrease heartbeat interval).

## Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Renesse et al., "A Gossip-Style Failure Detection Service", Chapman & Hall, pages 1-16, 1996, Shin et al, "Reusable Middleware Service", College of Engineering University of Michigan, pages 1-3 disclose heartbeat service, membership service and topology management.

Jindal et al., patent 6,324,580 B1 disclose method and system for configuring a status of servers and providing DNS services.

Peters et al., patent 6,415,373 B1, McIntyre et al., patent 6,381,218 B1 disclose network controller system for using directed heartbeat packets.

Art Unit: 2154

17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jungwon Chang whose telephone number is (703)305-9669. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00 (Monday-Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (703)308-9052. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)746-7239 for regular communications and (703)746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-9669.

Jungwon Chang May 16, 2003

MENG-AL T. AN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Magby